WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PEN	IDING TRIAL	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Joel Contreras-Alvarez	Case Number: <u>11-02054M-001</u>		
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142( vas represented by counsel. I conclude by a proper defendant pending trial in this case.	, a detention hearing was held on February 22 eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a	2, 2011. Defendant wa a flight risk and order th	
I find by a pre	FINE ponderance of the evidence that:	NGS OF FACT		
$\boxtimes$		States or lawfully admitted for permanent resi	dence.	
⊠	The defendant, at the time of the charged	, ,		
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces	erein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom- placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported emoved.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts	n the United States or in the District of Arizona	•	
	The defendant has no resources in the Uni to assure his/her future appearance.	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated o assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant be substantial family ties to Mexico.	e defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has ostantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enf	rcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement	ent.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.		
The C	ourt incorporates by reference the material fin he hearing in this matter, except as noted in	lings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were	e reviewed by the Cour	
	CONCL	JSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	II flee.		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions v	Il reasonably assure the appearance of the de	fendant as required.	
		EGARDING DETENTION		
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppor itates or on request of an attorney for the Gove	orney General or his/her designated representatersons awaiting or serving sentences or being unity for private consultation with defense countrament, the person in charge of the corrections appearance in connection with a court process.	held in custody pending sel. On order of a cour facility shall deliver the	
	APPEALS AND	HIRD PARTY RELEASE		
IT IS C deliver a copy o Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this deten of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pre	ion order be filed with the District Court, it is co rial Services at least one day prior to the hearin	unsel's responsibility to g set before the Distric	
Services suffic		party is to be considered, it is counsel's responstrict Court to allow Pretrial Services an oppo		
DATE: <u>Febr</u> ı	uary 22, 2011	JAY R. IRW United States Magist		